

UMUC Asian Division: Teacher Evaluation Summary
IFSM 310 - Term 1, 2001/02
Hardware/Software Concepts
Lecturer: Robert M. Laurie

Evaluation Opinion Questions

5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree	Average
1. The syllabus clearly stated course objectives and grading criteria	4.78
2. The faculty member was well-organized and prepared	4.89
3. The faculty member presents the subject matter clearly	4.89
4. The faculty member gave constructive and helpful feedback	4.89
5. The faculty member was approachable	5.00
6. The faculty member shows genuine concern for student	5.00
7. The course content added to my knowledge, ability, and skills	4.89
8. Readings from textbook were assigned to support the class	4.67
9. Overall how would you rate: the course as interesting, stimulating, and challenging	5.00

Comments

10. Aspects of the class which you liked and those which you think need improvement:

Like the instructor was willing to review what was covered during the previous class at the beginning of the next class.

Liked class material and book.

I learned a lot but I was hoping we could learn about the way newer CPU's are built, but I was very satisfied because I got a good understanding on how the Pentium chip works.

I liked most of the class, twice a week for three hours was tough. I would like it better 5 days for 1 hour 15 minutes.

Instructor materials were more helpful then the book.

I liked the way the class was presented- Did not like the group project idea.

I enjoyed the projects, although some of the projects needed more guidance.

11. The extent to which lecturer was responsible to the class (e.g. punctual, held class for full scheduled time, returned graded work promptly, made up canceled classes):

Made up missed classes, graded work was always returned next class.

Good

Always on time, made up canceled classes, gave us assignments to learn more about subject taught during class.

Everything was good.

Outstanding - followed the schedule yet remained flexible.

The instructor was very good about being on time, although he probably could have scheduled his breaks better.

12. Participation of students is an integral part of the learning process.

Briefly comment on the student participation in this class

(e.g. completed reading prior to class, turned in assignments on time,

carried their "load" in class activities and group projects):

Good

Had a great time completing assignment 5. I learned about Linux and how it works, and it was very fun being able to give a presentation to the class about what I have just learned. Participation was good.

About average for a class on a military base.

Student participation was good, except in areas where the students felt were very difficult.

13. Other comments:

Enjoyed the class, very pleased that the instructor was well versed in the material, and adept at presenting that knowledge to the class.

Good teacher and good class

See you in our next class 201.

Great Instructor: Greatly increased my knowledge of the subject.

Keep teaching in the same manner. There are many here that can benefit from your instruction.

I enjoyed the lectures, difficult material was explained in easy to understand terminology that was easy to learn.