



Course - Program Comparison

Data as of: 10/26/15 All ratings on 5-point scale; 1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree

TUS - CSIS - ASIA - Fall 2015 - Asia Session 1 - HYD

Questions		Course Rating								Program Rating											
		CMIS 102		A303		Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monroe				CSIS											
		Str Disagree		Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.	Str Disagree		Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.		
05	Fac well prep	0	0	0	2	6	0	0	4.75	0.46	8	0	0	0	2	6	0	0	4.75	0.46	8
06	Good pres	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.13	1.36	8	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.13	1.36	8
07	Stim Intrst	1	0	0	2	5	0	0	4.25	1.39	8	1	0	0	2	5	0	0	4.25	1.39	8
08	Fair Grade	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	4.38	0.52	8	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	4.38	0.52	8
09	Hlpfl Fdback	0	0	1	3	4	0	0	4.38	0.74	8	0	0	1	3	4	0	0	4.38	0.74	8
10	Access	0	0	1	4	3	0	0	4.25	0.71	8	0	0	1	4	3	0	0	4.25	0.71	8
11	Concrn	0	1	1	3	2	1	0	3.86	1.07	7	0	1	1	3	2	1	0	3.86	1.07	7
12	Int Chlngng	0	0	1	4	3	0	0	4.25	0.71	8	0	0	1	4	3	0	0	4.25	0.71	8
13	Clr Obj	0	0	1	4	3	0	0	4.25	0.71	8	0	0	1	4	3	0	0	4.25	0.71	8
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	4.50	0.53	8	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	4.50	0.53	8
15	Valu Asgmt	0	1	0	3	4	0	0	4.25	1.04	8	0	1	0	3	4	0	0	4.25	1.04	8
16	Rqrd Text	0	1	1	3	0	3	0	3.40	0.89	5	0	1	1	3	0	3	0	3.40	0.89	5
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	4.25	0.89	8	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	4.25	0.89	8
18	Eff Use Tech	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7
19	Eff Writing	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	3.17	1.47	6	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	3.17	1.47	6
20	Cmpr Skills	0	1	0	3	4	0	0	4.25	1.04	8	0	1	0	3	4	0	0	4.25	1.04	8
21	Use Rsrch	0	0	2	4	0	2	0	3.67	0.52	6	0	0	2	4	0	2	0	3.67	0.52	6
22	Glbl Prspctv	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	4.25	0.50	4	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	4.25	0.50	4
23	Crit Thnkng	0	1	2	3	2	0	0	3.75	1.04	8	0	1	2	3	2	0	0	3.75	1.04	8
24	Lab Actvty	0	1	0	3	2	2	0	4.00	1.10	6	0	1	0	3	2	2	0	4.00	1.10	6
25	Rcmnd CORS	0	0	1	6	1	0	0	4.00	0.53	8	0	0	1	6	1	0	0	4.00	0.53	8
26	Rcmnd FAC	0	0	1	3	3	1	0	4.29	0.76	7	0	0	1	3	3	1	0	4.29	0.76	7
27	Pers Goals	0	1	2	3	2	0	0	3.75	1.04	8	0	1	2	3	2	0	0	3.75	1.04	8
28	Prof Goals	0	1	2	3	2	0	0	3.75	1.04	8	0	1	2	3	2	0	0	3.75	1.04	8
29	Struc to Lrng	0	2	0	4	2	0	0	3.75	1.16	8	0	2	0	4	2	0	0	3.75	1.16	8
30	Stdnt Intract	0	1	0	5	1	1	0	3.86	0.90	7	0	1	0	5	1	1	0	3.86	0.90	7
31	Fac-Stud Intr	0	1	1	5	1	0	0	3.75	0.89	8	0	1	1	5	1	0	0	3.75	0.89	8
32	Tech on Own	0	1	0	3	2	0	0	4.00	1.10	6	0	1	0	3	2	0	0	4.00	1.10	6
Instructor Overall									4.31	0.69		4.31									0.69
Course Overall									4.01	0.65		4.01									0.65
Course Design									4.21	0.63		4.21									0.63
Pedagogy									4.33	0.77		4.33									0.77
Interaction									4.11	0.61		4.11									0.61
Course Objectives									3.86	0.89		3.86									0.89



Course - Program Comparison

Course: CMIS 102 Section: A303

Strong Features

I could know a lot of things about computer. And programing was fun!

projects really helped to learn what was taught in class; projects weren't all cookie cutter, they were unique to each individual

The strongest feature of this course was that the teacher knew what he was doing and talking about. But unfortunately, I didn't.

The teacher was very knowledgeable on the subject and cared greatly that the students understood

Improve/Recommend

it's pretty boring information but very needed information. No complaints, class was great.

Should have taken computer basic course first.

this class needs to be face to face and not hybrid

This class was only once a week. I would like to twice a week because this class was extremely difficult. One of the most difficult classes I have ever taken. More face to face is needed in my opinion.

This was an interesting and intricate course and therefore needed to be a face-to-face course NOT a hybrid class. At least half of the class was confused and having only one face-to-face class was not enough. The professor did make himself available during the day yet most of us if not all of us have other responsibilities during the day. It was VERY inconvenient.