



## Course - Program Comparison

Data as of: 5/19/17 All ratings on 5-point scale; 1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree

### TUS - MATH - ASIA - Spring 2017 - Asia Session 2 - F2F

Questions	Course Rating								Program Rating													
	MATH 103		A562		Instructor: Laurie, Robert Monroe				MATH													
	Str Disagree		Str Agree	NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.	Str Disagree	Str Agree	NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.							
05	Fac well prep	0	0	1	1	5	0	0	4.57	0.79	7	2	3	6	13	34	0	0	4.28	1.07	58	
06	Good pres	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	4.43	0.79	7	2	3	11	17	25	0	0	4.03	1.08	58	
07	Stim Intrst	0	0	1	4	2	0	0	4.14	0.69	7	3	2	7	23	22	0	1	4.04	1.07	57	
08	Fair Grade	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7	2	1	4	16	35	0	0	4.40	0.95	58	
09	Hlpfl Fdback	0	1	1	3	2	0	0	3.86	1.07	7	3	4	9	15	27	0	0	4.02	1.18	58	
10	Access	0	0	1	0	5	0	1	4.67	0.82	6	2	1	7	12	35	0	1	4.35	1.01	57	
11	Concrn	0	0	1	2	3	0	1	4.33	0.82	6	1	3	15	12	24	0	3	4.00	1.05	55	
12	Int Chlngng	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	4.29	0.76	7	0	1	9	20	28	0	0	4.29	0.79	58	
13	Clr Obj	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	4.57	0.53	7	0	1	3	25	29	0	0	4.41	0.68	58	
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	4.43	0.79	7	0	0	3	25	30	0	0	4.47	0.60	58	
15	Valu Asgmt	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	4.86	0.38	7	1	3	5	19	30	0	0	4.28	0.95	58	
16	Rqrd Text	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	5.00	0.00	6	0	4	5	18	30	0	1	4.30	0.91	57	
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	4.14	1.07	7	0	3	10	17	13	12	3	3.93	0.91	43	
18	Eff Use Tech	0	0	1	1	4	1	0	4.50	0.84	6	0	3	8	12	9	26	0	3.84	0.95	32	
19	Eff Writing	0	0	0	0	0	7	0			0	2	2	10	6	1	37	0	3.10	1.00	21	
20	Cmptr Skills	0	0	0	0	0	7	0			0	2	2	9	3	2	39	1	3.06	1.11	18	
21	Use Rsrch	0	0	1	0	0	5	1	3.00	0.00	1	0	4	9	7	3	34	1	3.39	0.94	23	
22	Glbl Prspctv	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	3.00	0.00	1	1	4	10	6	1	35	1	3.09	0.92	22	
23	Crit Thnkng	0	0	0	1	4	2	0	4.80	0.45	5	0	3	7	22	17	9	0	4.08	0.86	49	
24	Lab Actvty	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	5.00	0.00	1	1	3	10	3	7	32	2	3.50	1.18	24	
25	Rcmnd CORS	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	4.43	0.53	7	2	3	6	23	23	0	1	4.09	1.02	57	
26	Rcmnd FAC	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	4.43	0.79	7	4	1	7	17	27	0	2	4.11	1.15	56	
27	Pers Goals	0	0	1	5	1	0	0	4.00	0.58	7	4	4	13	22	15	0	0	3.69	1.14	58	
28	Prof Goals	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	4.14	0.38	7	4	2	8	24	17	0	3	3.87	1.12	55	
29	Struc to Lrng	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	4.29	0.76	7	5	3	6	24	19	0	1	3.86	1.20	57	
30	Stdnt Intract	0	0	3	1	2	0	1	3.83	0.98	6	1	8	14	19	14	0	2	3.66	1.07	56	
31	Fac-Stud Intr	0	0	2	3	2	0	0	4.00	0.82	7	1	3	11	26	17	0	0	3.95	0.93	58	
32	Tech on Own	0	0	0	2	2	3	0	4.50	0.58	4	1	1	3	17	13	22	1	4.14	0.91	35	
Instructor Overall									4.36	0.48		MATH									4.15	0.89
Course Overall									4.40	0.43		MATH									4.06	0.68
Course Design									4.51	0.49		MATH									4.24	0.60
Pedagogy									4.46	0.51		MATH									4.19	0.77
Interaction									4.17	0.61		MATH									4.06	0.82
Course Objectives									4.67	0.75		MATH									3.94	0.94



## Course - Program Comparison

Course: MATH 103 Section: A562

### Strong Features

Each week builds upon each week.

I appreciated the way the professor explained more than one way to solve problems. I believe this helped me to have a better understanding of the material because what works for one person doesn't work for everyone.

The hour of help with homework before class The slide presentation had lots in info Professor explained everything very well

### Improve/Recommend

Count homework towards the score. Home work does not award any points towards the final grade, but is instead counted if a you are on the borderline of a grade, for example if you have a 88% and did all the homework you would get an 90%, therefore it does not help if you score a 86%. Seems kind of unfair

None